## FL-518 HSNSV Applicant Scoring Sheet 2023 HUD CoC New Project Proposals

Project Rank: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Agency:

Project Name:

Threshold and Eligibility Criteria (Applicant must meet all			
requirements to be scored)	Yes	No	Comments
Applicant is a non-profit 501c3 or meets other eligibility requirements			
established by 24 CFR 578.15			
Applicant actively particpates in or agrees to participate in HMIS			
Applicant submitted through eSnaps; supplemental forms and all			
attachments received in UWSV office by deadline			
Documentation of match and leverage has been included.			
The proposal serves an eligible project type: NEW PSH, NEW RRH, or if			
reallocated funds are available, NEW TH-RRH, dedicated HMIS or SSO/CE			
Project follows "Housing First" approach as indicated in agency policies and			
procedures (required attachment)			

Point	Guidance		
Max Points	Fully meets scoring criteria		
Mid Points	Partially meets scoring criteria		
No Points	Does not meet scoring criteria		

Project Application	Max Points	Score	Comments
Demonstrated Commitment to Coordinated Entry			
Utilizes or agrees to use standardized assessment tool (VI-SPDAT) to prioritize clients (Data source: Coordinated Entry Master list and P&P)	5		
Makes or agrees to make and accept referrals through Coordinated Entry via HMIS (Data source: HMIS report/application from eSnaps and P&P)	5		
Participates or agrees to participate on Coordinated Entry Committee/team review (Data source: CE sign in sheet)	5		
Updates agency's availabilities with Coordinated Entry staff as they occur or within 3 business days (Data source: notes from weekly staffings or P&P if new to permanent housing)	5		
Program Experience Capacity			
Has experience undertaking and managing similar projects for people experiencing chronic/at-risk of homelessness (Data source: application from eSnaps and P&P)	10		
Has sufficient management resources available to successfully implement a compliant program (Data source: application from eSnaps, P&P, org chart)	5		
Project Narrative			
Describes program, population, services to be provided and number of persons to be served (Data source: eSnaps application)	4		
Project partners with Housing, Health and Service Agencies to maximize mainstream and community resources. (MOU,agreement attacehd)	2		
Project identifies barriers to participation faced by individuals of different races and ethnicities and steps to eliminate those barriers	2		
Project has implemented Covid-19 safety protocols.	2		
Does the project meet one of HUD's Priorities?	5		
Does the program address racial equity and racial disparities?	5		
Does the project serve under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ, etc?)	5		

Does the project incorporate feedback from persons with lived experiences or plan to crete a plan?	5		
HMIS			
Does the applicant commit to participating in HMIS or providing data from a comparable data base if serving victims of Domestic Violence? (Data source: application from eSnaps and P&P)	10		
Does the applicant have experience and/or enter into HMIS for non-HUD funded and non-funded programs? (Data source: HMIS reports)	10		
Program Type			
New Rapid Re-Housing or, if reallocated funds are available, New Transitional Housing/Rapid Re-Housing, or dedicated HMIS, or Supportive Services Only for Coordinated Entry	5		
Project population served is CoC priority.	5		
Administration			
Previously awarded HUD McKinney Vento or other Federal grants or projects (Data source: application from eSnaps and award letters)	5		
Evidence of ability to draw down funds in a timely manner (Data source: application from eSnaps)	5		
Participation in the PIT (Data source: PIT volunteer sign in and shelter HIC/PIT	5		
Participation in CoC meetings (Data source: Sign-in sheets)	5		
Particpation in CoC committee/sub-committee meetings (Data source: Sign- in sheets)	5		

Budget/Financial	Max	Score	Comments
Program budget is accurate and realistic	5		
Administrative costs do not exceed 10% of requested funding	5		
Match funds align with activities to be carried out	5		
Project is cost effective compared to other programs of its type	5		

Total Budget/Financial

Project Rank:

Total: \_\_\_\_\_ of 140 possible points

Notes, questions, justification for scoring/ranking: