FL-518 HSNSV Applicant Scoring Sheet 2023 HUD CoC Renewal Project Proposals

Project Rank: _	
Project Score: _	

Agency:			
Project Name:			

Threshold and Eligibility Criteria (Applicant must meet all			
requirements to be scored) *completed by Lead Agency			
Staff	Yes	No	Comments
Applicant is a non-profit 501c3 or meets other eligibility requirements			
established by 24 CFR 578.15	х		
Applicant actively particpates in HMIS or comparable database	Х		
Applicant submitted through eSnaps; supplemental forms and all			
attachments received in UWSV office by deadline	x		
The renewal proposed serves an eligible project type: PSH, RRH, HMIS,			
SSO/CE	х		
Project follows "Housing First" approach as indicated in agency policies and			
procedures (required attachment)	х		

Point	Guidance
Max Points	Fully meets scoring criteria
Mid Points	Partially meets scoring criteria
No Points	Does not meet scoring criteria

	Max		
Project Application	Point	Score	Comments
	s		
Demonstrated Commitment to Coordinated Entry			
Organization has clients on master list and those clients have had a VI-	5		
SPDAT (Data source: Coordinated Entry Master list)	,		
Makes and accepts referrals through Coordinated Entry via HMIS (Data	5		
source: HMIS report; P&P, application from eSnaps)	,		
Participates on Coordinated Entry Committee/team review (Data source: CE	5		
sign in sheet)	,		
Updates agency's availabilities with Coordinated Entry staff as they occur or	5		
within 3 business days (Data source: notes from weekly staffings)	,		
Program Performance			
Housing stability - benchmark standard of 85% (Data source: Annual	5		
Performance Report)	J		
Total income measure - benchmark standard of 35% (Data source: Annual	5		
Performance Report)	5		
Percentage of Adults exited to homelessness - benchmark standard of 15%	5		
or less (Data source: Annual Performance Report)	J		
Utilization Rate - benchmark standard of 90% (Data source: Annual	5		
Performance Report)	J		
Project Narrative			
Describes program, population, services to be provided and number of	2		
persons to be served (Data source: eSnaps application and P&P)			
Project population served is CoC priority.	2		
Project partners with Housing, Health and Service Agencies to maximize	_		
mainstream and community resources. (MOU, agreement attached)	2		
intalistically and community resources. (WOO, agreement attached)			
Project identifies barriers to participation faced by individuals of different	2		
races and ethnicities and includes steps to eliminate those barriers			
	,		
Project has implemented Covid-19 safety protocols.	2		
Tajott has implemented covid 15 safety protocols.			
	5		
Does the project meet one of HUD's Priorities?			

	1	1 1	
Does the program address racial equity and racial disparities?	5		
boes the program address racial equity and racial dispanties:	5		
Does the project serve underrepresented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ, etc)	3		
Does the project incorporate feedback from persons with lived experiences	5		
or plan to create a plan?			
HMIS	_	1 1	
Data quality (Data source: Annual Performance Report)	5		
Does the applicant enter into HMIS for non-HUD funded and non-funded	5		
programs? (Data source: HMIS reports) Administration			
Spent down all program funds (Data source: eLOCCS print out)	5		
Submitted APR (Data source: Sage)	5		
Participated in the PIT (Data source: PIT volunteer sign in and shelter	3		
HIC/PIT submission)	5		
Participated in CoC meetings (Data source: Sign-in sheets)	5		
Participated in CoC infectings (Data source: Sign-In Sheets) Participated in CoC committee/sub-committee meetings (Data source: Sign-	3		
in sheets)	5		
System Performance Measures			
Agency submitted SAGE on time	5		
Agency submitted SAGE on time Agency collects SPM in HMIS	5	 	
Project has at least one factor related to improving the required system	ر ا		
performance measures	5		
Budget/Financial	Max Point	Score	Comments
24460474	s		
Program budget is accurate and realistic	5		
Administrative costs do not exceed 10% of requested funding	5		
Match funds align with activities to be carried out	5		
Project is cost effective compared to other programs of its type	5		
Total Budget/Financial	I		
Project Rank: Total:	of 14	40 pos	ssible points
Notes, questions, justification for scoring/ranking:			